arXiv:1408.3935v2 [math.CO] 21 Aug 2014

Coloring clique-hypergraph of K_5-minor-free graphs*

Erfang Shan[a,b]†, Yuxiao Sun[b], Liying Kang[b]

[a]School of Management, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

[b]Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

Abstract

A clique-coloring of a graph G is a coloring of the vertices of G so that no maximal clique of size at least two is monochromatic. The clique-hypergraph, $\mathcal{H}(G)$, of a graph G has $V(G)$ as its set of vertices and the maximal cliques of G as its hyperedges. A (vertex) coloring of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is a clique-coloring of G. The clique-chromatic number of G is the least number of colors for which G admits a clique-coloring. Every planar graph has been proved to be 3-clique-colorable (Electr. J. Combin. 6 (1999), #R26). Recently, we showed that every claw-free planar graph, different from an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable (European J. Combin. 36 (2014) 367-376). In this paper we generalize these results to {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs.

MSC: 05C65, 05C69, 05C75

Keywords: Clique-coloring; K_5-minor-free graph; clique-hypergraph; planar graph; polynomial-time algorithm

1 Introduction

A *hypergraph* $\mathcal{H}$ is a pair $(V, \mathcal{E})$ where V is a finite set of vertices and $\mathcal{E}$ is a family of non-empty subsets of V called *hyperedges*. A *k-coloring* of $\mathcal{H}$ is a function $\phi : V \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that for each $S \in \mathcal{E}$, with $|S| \geq 2$, there exist $u, v \in S$ with $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$, that is, there is no monochromatic hyperedge of size at least two. If such a function exists we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is *k-colorable*. The *chromatic number* $\chi(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is the smallest k for which $\mathcal{H}$ admits a k-coloring.

* *E-mail addresses*: efshan@shu.edu.cn (E. Shan), lykang@shu.edu.cn (L. Kang)

† *Corresponding author.*

In other words, a k-coloration of $\mathcal{H}$ is a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of V into at most k parts such that no hyperedge of cardinality at least 2 is contained in some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Here we consider hypergraphs arising from graphs: for an undirected simple graph G, we call *clique-hypergraph* of G (or *hypergraph of maximal cliques* of G) the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}(G) = (V(G), \mathcal{E})$ which has the same vertices as G and whose *hyperedges* are the *maximal cliques* of G (a *clique* is a complete induced subgraph of G, and it is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in any other clique). A k-coloring of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is also called a *k-clique-coloring* of G, and the chromatic number $\chi(\mathcal{H}(G))$ of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is called the *clique-chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi_C(G)$. If $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is k-colorable we say that G is *k-clique-colorable*.

Note that what we call k-clique-coloration here is also called *weak k-coloring* by Andreae, Schughart and Tuza in [1, 3] or *strong k-division* by Hoáng and McDiarmid in [11]. Clearly, any (vertex) k-coloring of G is a k-clique-coloring of G, so $\chi_C(G) \leq \chi(G)$. On the other hand, note that if G is triangle-free (contains no a clique on three vertices), then $\mathcal{H}(G) = G$, which implies $\chi_C(G)) = \chi(G)$. Since the chromatic number of triangle-free graphs is known to be unbounded [17], we get that the same is true for the clique-chromatic number.

The clique-hypergraph coloring problem was posed by Duffus et al. [8]. In general, clique-coloring can be a very different problem from ordinary vertex coloring [2]. Clique-coloring is harder than ordinary vertex coloring: it is coNP-complete even to check whether a 2-clique-coloring is valid [2]. The complexity of 2-clique-colorability is investigated in [13], where they show that it is NP-hard to decide whether a perfect graph is 2-clique-colorable. However, it is not clear whether this problem belongs to NP. Recently, Marx [15] prove that it is $\sum_2^p$-complete to check whether a graph is 2-clique-colorable. On the other hand, Bacsó et al. [2] proved that almost all perfect graphs are 3-clique-colorable. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\chi_C \leq k$ on line graphs was given [1]. Recently, Campos et al. [4] showed that powers of cycles is 2-clique-colorable, except for odd cycles of size at least five, that need three colours, and showed that odd-seq circulant graphs are 4-clique-colorable. Many papers focus on finding the classes of graphs with $\chi_C = 2$. Claw-free perfect graphs and claw-free graphs without an odd hole are 2-clique-colorable [2]. Claw-free graphs of maximum degree at most four, other than an odd cycle, are 2-clique-colorable [3]. Many subclasses of odd-hole-free graphs have been studied and showed to be 2-clique-colorable [5, 6, 8]. Other works considering the clique-hypergraph coloring problem in classes of graphs can be found in the literature [10, 11, 12, 14].

For planar graphs, Mohar and Šrekovski [16] have shown that every planar graph is 3-clique-colorable, and Kratochvíl and Tuza [13] proposed a polynomial-time algorithm to decide if a planar graph is 2-clique-colorable (the set of cliques is given in the input).

Mohar and Šrekovski [16] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Mohar and Škrekovski [16]). *Every planar graph is 3-clique-colorable.*

Recently, we proved the following result in [19].

Theorem 1.2 (Shan, Liang and Kang [19]). *Every claw-free planar graph, different from an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable.*

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above results to K_5-minor-free graphs. Section 2 gives some notation and terminology. In Section 3, we first show that every edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph is 3-clique-colorable and every edge-maximal K_4-minor-free graph is 2-clique-colorable. Secondly, we prove that every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph G, different from an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable and a 2-clique-coloring can be found in polynomial time.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be an undirected simple graph with *vertex set* $V(G)$ and *edge set* $E(G)$. If H is a subgraph of G, then the vertex set of H is denoted by $V(H)$. For $v \in V(G)$, the *open neighborhood* $N(v)$ of v is $\{u\colon uv \in E(G)\}$, and the *closed neighborhood* $N[v]$ of v is $N(v) \cup \{v\}$. The *degree* of the vertex v, written $d_G(v)$ or simply $d(v)$, is the number of edges incident to v, that is, $d_G(v) = |N(v)|$. The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph induced by S is denoted by $G|S$. As usual, $K_{m,n}$ denotes a complete bipartite graph with classes of cardinality m and n; K_n is the complete graph on n vertices, and C_n is the cycle on n vertices. The graph $K_{1,3}$ is also called a *claw*, and K_3 a *triangle*. The graph $K_4 - e$ (obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge) is called a *diamond*. A graph G is *claw-free* if it does not contain $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. A graph H is a *minor* of a graph G if H can be obtained from G by deleting edges, deleting vertices, and contracting edges. A graph G is *H-minor-free*, if G has no minor which is isomorphic to H. The family of K_5-minor-free graphs is a generalization of the planar graphs. For a family $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ of graphs, we say that G is $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$-free if it is *F_i-free* for all i.

For an integer of k, a clique of size k of a graph G is called a *k-clique* of G. The largest such k is the *clique number* of G, denoted $\omega(G)$. A subset I of vertices of G is called an *independent set* of G if no two vertices of I are adjacent in G. The maximum cardinality of an independent set of G is the *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of G. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *clique-transversal set* of G if D meets all cliques of G, i.e., $D \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ for every clique C of G. The *clique-transversal number*, denoted by $\tau_C(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum clique-transversal set of G. The notion of clique-transversal set in graphs can be regarded as a special case of the transversal set in hypergraph theory. Erdős et al. [9] have proved that the problem of finding a

minimum clique-transversal set for a graph is NP-hard. It is therefore of interest to determine bounds on the clique-transversal number of a graph. In [9] Erdős et al. proposed to find sharp estimates on the clique-transversal number τ_C for particular classes of graphs (planar graphs, perfect graphs, etc.).

We call G a *plane triangulation* if every face of a planar G (including the outer triangulation face) is bounded by a triangle. Let G be a planar graph and C a cycle of G. The *interior* $\mathrm{Int}(C)$ of C denotes the subgraph of G consisting of C and all vertices and edges in the disk bounded by C. Similarly, $\mathrm{Ext}(C) \subseteq G$ is the *exterior* of C. Obviously, $\mathrm{Int}(C) \cap \mathrm{Ext}(C) = C$.

3 K_5-Minor-free graphs

In this section, we first show that every edge-maximal K_4-minor-free graph is 2-clique-colorable and every edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph is 3-clique-colorable. Secondly, we show that every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, different from an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable. As an immediate corollary, we prove that every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, different from an odd cycle, has the clique-transversal number bounded above by half of its order.

Lemma 3.1. ([7]) *A graph with at least 3 vertices is edge-maximal without a K_4-minor if and only if it can be constructed recursively from triangles by pasting along K_2's.*

Theorem 3.1. *Every edge-maximal K_4-minor-free graph is 2-clique-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be an edge-maximal K_4-minor-free graph. For $|V(G)| = 2$, the assertion is trivial. So we may assume that $|V(G)| \geq 3$. Suppose that A is a subgraph isomorphic to K_2 in G and ϕ is a (not necessarily proper) coloring of A. We show by induction on $|V(G)|$ that ϕ can be extended to a 2-clique-coloring of G. For $|V(G)| = 3$, since G is a triangle, the assertion is obvoius. For $|V(G)| \geq 4$, by Lemma 3.1, we have $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ such that $G_1 \cap G_2 \cong K_2$ where G_1, G_2 are proper subgraphs of G. Clearly A is a subgraph of G_1 or G_2. Without loss of generality, let A be a subgraph of G_1. By the induction hypothesis applied to G_1, ϕ can be extended to a 2-clique-coloring of G_1. This coloring induces a (not necessarily proper) coloring of $G_1 \cap G_2$, and by the induction hypothesis applied to G_2, the coloring of $G_1 \cap G_2$ further can be extended to a 2-clique-coloring of G_2. The union of these 2-clique-colorings of G_1 and G_2 forms a 2-clique-coloring of G. The assertion follows. □

Remark 1. The condition "edge-maximal" in Theorem 3.1 is the best possible, because the graph exhibited in Figure 5 is a K_4-minor-free graph and we see that it is not 2-clique-colorable.

Next we shall show that every edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph is 3-clique-colorable. For this purpose, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. (Škrekovski [20]) *Every K_5-minor-free graph is 5-choosable.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a K_5-minor-free graph with at least one edge such that each edge of G is contained in some triangle of G. Then G has a 3-clique-coloring such that no triangle of G is monochromatic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, there is a 5-coloring ϕ of G. For $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, let $V_i \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of vertices colored i. Now, let $\phi(v) = 1$ if $v \in V_1 \cap V_2$, let $\phi(v) = 2$ if $v \in V_3 \cup V_4$, and let $\phi(v) = 3$ if $v \in V_5$. Since every maximal clique K in G contains at least 3 vertices, K uses at least 3 colors in the 5-coloring of G, and hence ϕ uses at least both colors on K. Therefore, ϕ is a 3-clique-coloring of G and no triangle of G is monochromatic. □

Lemma 3.4. (Mohar and Škrekovski [16]) *Let G be a connected planar graph whose outer cycle C is a triangle. Let $\phi : V(C) \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ be a coloring of $\mathcal{H}(C)$. Then ϕ can be extended to a 3-clique-coloring of G and no triangle of G is monochromatic.*



Figure 1: Two different representations of the Wagner graph.

The *Wagner graph*, denoted by V_8, is a graph constructed from an 8-cycle (we call it the outer cycle) by connecting the antipodal vertices (these edges will be called the *diagonal edges*). The Wagner graph is depicted in Figure 1. Note that the Wagner graph is triangle-free and 3-colorable (because it is cubic).

The following easy lemma about the Wagner graph is obtained by Naserasr et al. in [18].

Lemma 3.5. ([18]) *If e is an edge of the Wagner graph V_8, then $V_8 - e$ admits a 3-coloring such that the end vertices of e receive the same color.*

Theorem 3.2. (Wagner [21]) *If G is an edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph with at least 4 vertices, then G can be constructed recursively, by pasting along K_2's and K_3's, from plane triangulations and copies of the Wagner graph.*

In order to make our arguments easier to follow we use the following notations in [18]: Let $\mathcal{T} = T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ be a sequence of graphs where each T_i is either a plane triangulation or a

copy of the Wagner graph V_8. By $\mathcal{T}$, we construct another sequence $\mathcal{G} = G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_r$ of graphs as follows: $G_1 = T_1$, G_i is obtained from G_{i-1} and T_i by pasting T_i to G_{i-1} along a K_2 or a K_3.

Given an edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph G, the sequence $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be a *Wagner sequence* of the graph G, if $G = G_r$ for some sequence $\mathcal{G}$ constructed from $\mathcal{T}$. Note that each edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph has a Wagner sequence by Theorem 3.2.

We actually can prove the following somewhat stronger result.

Theorem 3.3. *If G is an edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph, then G has a 3-clique-coloring such that no triangle of G is monochromatic.*

Proof. If $|V(G)| \leq 3$, the assertion is trivial. So let $|V(G)| \geq 4$. As we have seen, G has a Wagner sequence $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{T} = T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ be a Wagner sequence of G. We proceed by induction the *length* r of $\mathcal{T}$. When $r = 1$, G $(= T_1)$ is either the Wagner graph or a plane triangulation. If G is the Wagner graph then the assertion is obvious, since the Wagner graph is 3-colorable. If G is a plane triangulation, then the assertion follows directly from Lemma 3.3. So assume that $r \geq 2$.

Note that $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{r-1}$ is a Wagner sequence of the subgraph G_{r-1} of G. By the induction hypothesis, G_{r-1} has a 3-clique-coloring such that no triangle of G_{r-1} is monochromatic. Let ϕ be such a 3-clique-coloring of G_{r-1}. It suffices to show that ϕ can be extended to a 3-clique-coloring of G_r such that no triangle of G_r is monochromatic. Suppose that T_r is pasted to G_{r-1} along a triangle T. Clearly the 3-clique-coloring ϕ of G_{r-1} induces a coloring of $\mathcal{H}(T)$, since T is not monochromatic. We can easily extend the coloring of $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathrm{Int}(A)$ and to $\mathrm{Ext}(A)$ (respectively) by applying Lemma 3.4. So the assertion follows. Suppose that T_r is pasted to G_{r-1} along a K_2, say A. Then ϕ induces a (not necessarily proper) coloring of A. If A is a maximal clique of T_r, then $T_r = V_8$. By using the 3-colorability of the Wagner graph V_8 and Lemma 3.5, we are done. Finally, if A is not a maximal clique of T_r, we first extend the coloring of A to a 3-coloring of $\mathcal{H}(T)$ where T is the triangle of T_r containing A, and then extend the coloring of $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathrm{Int}(T)$ and to $\mathrm{Ext}(T)$ (respectively) in T_r by Lemma 3.4. Thus we obtain a 3-clique-coloring of G_r such that no triangle of G_r is monochromatic. $\square$

Remark 2. By Theorem 3.3, we know that every edge-maximal K_5-minor-free graph G is 3-clique-colorable. Furthermore, we conjecture that this assertion is true for general K_5-minor-free graphs.

We now turn our attention to the claw-free graphs without K_5-minors. Let $C_n + K_1$ be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of C_n and K_1 by joining the single vertex of K_1 to all the vertices of C_n. The graph $C_n + K_1$ is also called a *n-wheel*, denoted by W_n, and the vertex

in K_1 is known as the *hub* of W_n.

For claw-free graphs G without 4-cliques, we observe the following simple property of the graph G by the Ramsey number $R(3,3) = 6$, its proof is similar to that of Lemma 8 in [19].

Lemma 3.6. *If G is a claw-free graph without 4-cliques, then $\Delta(G) \leq 5$ and $G|N[v]$ is a 5-wheel W_5 for each vertex v of degree 5.*

In [19] we proved that for a claw-free planar graph G, any 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$ can be extended to a 2-clique-coloring of G, where v is a vertex of degree 5 in G. By Lemma 3.6, we can generalize this result to {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs. Its proof resembles that of Lemma 9 in [19], and is omitted.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph without 4-cliques and let v be a vertex of degree 5 in G. If $G - v$ is 2-clique-colorable, then the same is true for G.*

Lemma 3.8. *Every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph has maximum degree at most 6.*

Proof. Let G be a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\Delta(G) \geq 7$. Let $v \in V(G)$ such that $d_G(v) \geq 7$. Since G contains no K_5-minor and claw, $G|N(v)$ contains no K_4-minor, and so $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$. To obtain a contradiction, we consider the graph $G|N(v)$.

Suppose that $G|N(v)$ contains a diamond D. Let $V(D) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $d_D(u_1) = d_D(u_2) = 2$ and let $G_1 = G|N(v) - V(D)$. Then $|V(G_1)| \geq 3$. Since $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$, G_1 contains at most two components and each vertex of G_1 is adjacent to u_1 or u_2. Clearly, all vertices in each component of G_1 is adjacent to only one of u_1 and u_2, for otherwise $G|N(v)$ would contain a K_4-minor. If G_1 consists of precisely one component. Without loss of generality, we may assume that all vertices of G_1 is adjacent to u_1. Thus u_2 is not adjacent to any vertex of G_1. Observe that $G|V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}$ is not a complete subgraph in $G|N(v)$, since $|V(G_1)| \geq 3$ and $G|N(v)$ contains no K_4-minor. Thus there exist veritces $u_5, u_6 \in V(G_1)$ such that $u_5u_6 \in E(G)$. But then $\{u_2, u_5, u_6\}$ is an independent set of $G|N(v)$, contradicting the fact that $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$. If G_1 consists of precisely two component O_1 and O_2, and assume that $|V(O_1)| \geq |V(O_2)|$, then $|V(O_1)| \geq 2$. As we have observed above, all vertices of O_i is adjacent to exactly one of u_1 and u_2. Without loss of generality, let us suppose that all vertices of O_1 is adjacent to u_1. So u_2 is not adjacent to any vertex of O_1. By $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$, we see that $G|V(O_1) \cup \{u_1\}$ is complete, and thus $|V(O_1)| = 2$, since $G|N(v)$ is K_4-minor-free. Hence $O_1 = K_2$. On the other hand, we claim that all vertices of O_2 is adjacent to u_2. Indeed, if not, we take $c_i \in V(O_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\{c_1, c_2, u_2\}$ is an independent set of size 3 of $G|N(v)$, a contradiction. By the K_4-minor-freeness of $G|N(v)$, it is easy to see that one of u_3 and u_4, say u_3, is not adjacent to any vertex of O_2. $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$ implies that u_3 is adjacent to all vertices of O_1. But then $G|V(O_1) \cup \{u_1, u_3\}$ is a K_4-minor in $G|N(v)$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $G|N(v)$ contains no diamond. Let $I = \{u_1, u_2\}$ be a maximum independent set of $G|N(v)$, and let $N_1 = N(v) - \{u_1, u_2\}$. As we have observed, each vertex of N_1 is adjacent to at least one of u_1 and u_2 by $\alpha(G|N(v)) = 2$. If $G|N_1$ contains a triangle, then one of u_1 and u_2 is ajacent to at least two vertices of this triangle. So $G|N(v)$ contains a diamond, contradicting our assumption. Thus $G|N_1$ contains no triangle. By Ramsey number $R(3,3) = 6$, we conclude that $|N_1| = 5$ and $\alpha(G|N_1) = 2$, and so $|N(v)| = 7$. Clearly, $G|N_1$ is isomorphic to the cycle C_5. Note that either u_1 or u_2 is adjacent to at least three vertices on the cycle $G|N_1$. But then $G|N(v)$ has a K_4-minor, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let G be a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph with $\omega(G) = 4$ and let v be a vertex which lies in a 4-clique of G. If there exists a 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$, then the same is true for G.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that G has no 2-clique-coloring. Let ϕ' be a 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$ with colors *red* and *green*. Then the extension of the coloring ϕ' of $G - v$ is impossible. Consequently, G contains two maximal cliques K and L such that $V(K) \cap V(L) = v$. Let $Q := K - v$ and $R := L - v$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the vertices of Q are red in ϕ', while those of R are green. Thus we cannot color v neither red nor green in any extension of ϕ'. Since ϕ' is a 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$, there exist two cliques (not necessarily maximal) Q' and R' in $G - v$ such that $Q' = Q + q_1$ and $R' = R + q_2$ with $q_1 \notin V(Q)$, $\phi'(q_1) = green$ and $q_2 \notin V(R)$, $\phi'(q_2) = red$, since otherwise ϕ' would not be a proper 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$.

Suppose that one of K and L is a 4-clique of G. Without loss of generality, let K be a 4-clique of G. By the K_5-minor-freeness of G, clearly q_1 is not adjacent to v. Let us consider the graph $G - V(Q)$. If there is a path P between q_1 and v in $G - V(Q)$, then the vertices of $V(P) \cup V(Q)$ would contains a K_5-minor of G, contradicting our assumption. Hence q_1 and v lie in the distinct components of $G - V(Q)$. Let C be the component containing v. Let us now define a vertex coloring ϕ of G as follows: we color v green and change the colors of the vertices in $C - v$, and assign colors in ϕ' to all other vertices. We claim that ϕ is a 2-clique-coloring of G. Suppose not, let M be a maximal clique of G which is monochromatic in ϕ. Then M must contain at least one vertex, say k, of Q, and some vertices of C. This implies that M is red, and thus $v \notin V(M)$. Hence M contains at least a vertex c that is not adjacent to v. One can easily see that $c \in V(C)$. Note that there is no path between q_1 and v in $G - V(Q)$, so c is not adjacent to q_1. But then we find a claw induced by $\{k, q_1, v, c\}$ centered at k, a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that neither K nor L is a 4-clique of G.

To complete the proof, we have the following claim.

Claim 1 Both K and L are 3-cliques of G, and the induced subgraph $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$ is



Figure 2: The graphs F_1 and F_1'.

isomorphic to F_1 or F_1' (see Figure 2).

We first show that both K and L are 3-cliques of G. Indeed, if not, without loss of generality, we may assume that K be a 2-clique of G and let $V(K) = \{v, x\}$. Obviously, x is not adjacent to any neighbor of v by the maximality of K. According to our assumption, the vertex v lies in a 4-clique, say W, of G. So x is not adjacent to any vertex of $L - v$ $(= R)$ and $W - v$. On the other hand, since L and W are two distinct cliques of G, there exists vertices $y \in V(L) - V(W)$ and $u \in V(W) - V(L)$ such that $yu \notin E(G)$. This implies that $\{v, x, u, y\}$ induces a claw centered at v, a contradiction. Therefore, K and L are 3-cliques of G.



Figure 3: The graph H_1.

Let $V(K) = \{v, x_1, x_2\}$, $V(L) = \{v, y_1, y_2\}$ and let W be a 4-clique that contains the vertex v. Note that $v \in V(W) \cap V(K) \cap V(L)$, so $1 \leq |V(W) \cap (V(K) \cup V(L))| \leq 3$, for otherwise $V(W) \supseteq V(K)$ or $V(W) \supseteq V(L)$, contradicting the fact that K and L are maximal cliques of G. Thus $V(W) - (V(K) \cup V(L)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in V(W) - (V(K) \cup V(L))$. Clearly, K (respectively L) contain at least a vertex that is not adjacent to u. Without loss of generality, let $x_1 \in V(K), y_1 \in V(L)$ such that $x_1u \notin E(G)$ and $y_1u \notin E(G)$. Since $\{v, x_1, y_1, u\}$ does not induce a claw centered at v, it immediately follows that $x_1y_1 \in E(G)$. This implies that $x_1y_2 \notin E(G)$

and $x_2y_1 \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of K and L. Since $\{v, x_1, y_2, u\}$ and $\{v, x_2, y_1, u\}$ can not induce a claw centered at v, we have $ux_2, uy_2 \in E(G)$. Now we show that $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$ is isomorphic to either F_1 or F_1'. If $N(v) = \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u\}$, then $x_2y_2 \in E(G)$ since v lies in a 4-clique of G. Hence v lies in the 4-clique induced by $\{v, u, x_2, y_2\}$. Furthermore, we claim that $uq_i \notin E(G)$ for $i = 1, 2$. If not, let $uq_1 \in E(G)$, then $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1\}$ would contain a K_5-minor. Therefore, $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$ is isomorphic to the graph F_1. If $N(v) \neq \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u\}$, we have $d_G(v) = \Delta(G) = 6$ by Lemma 3.8. Let $N(v) = \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u, w\}$. Since $\{v, x_1, y_2, w\}$ does not induce a claw centered at v, $wx_1 \in E(G)$ or $wy_2 \in E(G)$. If $wy_2 \in E(G)$, then $wy_1 \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of L. So $wx_2 \in E(G)$ (see the graph H_1 in Figure 3), for otherwise $\{v, x_2, y_1, w\}$ would induce a claw centered at v. This implies that $wx_1 \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of K. As we have seen, u is not adjacent to x_1, it follows that $wu \in E(G)$, since otherwise $\{v, x_1, u, w\}$ induces a claw centered at v. But now $G[N[v]]$ contains a K_5-minor, a contradiction. If $wx_1 \in E(G)$, then $wx_2 \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of K. To avoid a claw induced by $\{v, x_2, y_1, w\}$ centered at v, we have $wy_1 \in E(G)$. Thus $wy_2 \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of L. By the K_5-minor-freeness of G, it is easy to see that $wu \notin E(G)$. Note that $\{v, w, x_2, y_2\}$ can not induce a claw centered at v, so $x_2y_2 \in E(G)$. Finally, one easily see that $uq_i \notin E(G)$ and $wq_i \notin E(G)$ by the K_5-minor-freeness of G. Consequently, $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$ is isomorphic to the graph F_1'. $\square$

For convenience, let us denote by W and W' (if exists) the 4-cliques $G|\{x_2, y_2, u, v\}$ and $G|\{x_1, y_1, v, w\}$, that contain the vertex v, in F_1 or F_1'.

As we saw earlier, $\phi'(x_1) = \phi'(x_2) = red$, $\phi'(y_1) = \phi'(y_2) = green$, and $\phi'(q_1) = green$ and $\phi'(q_2) = red$. We give a 2-clique-coloring ϕ of G as follows: we exchange the colors of x_2 and y_2, and assign red or green to v, and let $\phi(x) = \phi'(x)$ for all the vertices $x \in V(G) - \{v, x_2, y_2\}$. We claim that ϕ is a 2-clique-coloring of G. Suppose not, let M be a monochromatic maximal clique of G in ϕ. Then M must contain exactly one vertex of $\{x_2, y_2\}$, and at least one vertex, say k, of $V(G) - (N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\})$. By the symmetry between x_2 and y_2 in F_1 or F_1', we may assume that x_2 is in M. This implies that M is green. By Lemma 3.8, $d_G(x_2) \leq \Delta(G) \leq 6$. If $|V(M)| \geq 3$, then all the vertices in $V(M) - \{k\}$ are in $N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$; otherwise we have

$$d_G(x_2) = |N(x_2)| \geq |\{x_1, y_2, u, v, q_1\}| + |V(M) - (N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\})| \geq 7,$$

which is a contradiction. We claim that $|V(M)| \leq 3$. Indeed, if $|V(M)| = 4$, by Claim 1, $G|N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\} \cong F_1$ or F_1', then k is not adjacent to v. Since M is a maximal green 4-clique of G, we have $V(M) - \{k\} = \{x_2, u, q_1\}$. But now we can find four vertex-disjoint paths linking x_1 to all vertices in the 4-clique W. Thus G contains a K_5-minor, contradicting our assumption. Consequently, M is either a green 2-clique or a green 3-clique of G. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: M is a 2-clique of G, that is, M is the maximal 2-clique induced by $\{x_2, k\}$. Obviously,

we have $x_1k \notin E(G)$, $y_2k \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of M. By Claim 1, we know that $x_1y_2 \notin G$. This implies that $\{x_1, y_2, k, x_2\}$ induces a claw centered at x_2, a contradiction.



Figure 4: The graphs F_2 and F_3.

Case 2: M is a 3-clique of G, and let $V(M) = \{x_2, k, l\}$.

As we have seen, $l \in N[v] \cup \{q_1, q_2\}$, and k is not adjacent to v by Claim 1. Since M is a maximal green 3-clique of G, we have $l = u$ or q_1.

If $l = u$, that is, $ku \in E(G)$, then $\phi(u) = green$ (see the graph F_2 in Figure 4). This implies that $y_2k \notin E(G)$ by the maximality of M. Thus $x_1k \in E(G)$ for avoiding the claw $G|\{x_1, x_2, y_2, k\}$ at x_2. Hence we can now find four vertex-disjoint paths linking x_1 to all vertices in the 4-clique W, and so a K_5-minor occurs in G, a contradiction.

If $l = q_1$, that is, $q_1k \in E(G)$, then $V(M) = \{x_2, k, q_1\}$ (see the graph F_3 in Figure 4). By the maximality of M, we have $x_1k \notin E(G)$. By Claim 1, we know that $x_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ and $x_1u \notin E(G)$. Note that $\{x_1, x_2, y_2, k\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, u, k\}$ can not induce claws at x_2, so $y_2k, uk \in E(G)$, so we find four vertex-disjoint paths linking k to all vertices in the 4-clique W. But now this produces a K_5-minor in G, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.10 (Bacsó and Tuza [3])**.** *Every connected claw-free graph of maximum degree at most four, other than an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable. Moreover, a 2-clique-coloring can be found in polynomial time.*

Finally, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph G of order n, different from an odd cycle, is 2-clique-colorable.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. For $n \leq 4$, clearly the assertion holds. Now let $n > 4$,

and assume that the assertion holds for smaller values than n. If $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, by Lemma 3.10, G is 2-clique-colorable. So we may assume that $\Delta(G) \geq 5$.

Suppose that G has no 4-cliques. Then $\Delta(G) \leq 5$ by Lemma 3.6, and so $\Delta(G) = 5$. Let v be a vertex of degree 5 in G. By Lemma 3.4, we have $G|N[v]$ is a 5-wheel. If $G = G|N[v]$, then G can easily be clique-colored in two colors. If $G \neq G|N[v]$, then clearly $G - v$ is still a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, not an odd cycle. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, $G - v$ is 2-clique-colorable. It follows from Lemma 3.7 that G is 2-clique-colorable.

Suppose that G has 4-cliques. Let v is a vertex of G that lies in a 4-clique. Obviously $G - v$ is still a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, not an odd cycle. By the induction hypothesis, $G - v$ is 2-clique-colorable. So G is also 2-clique-colorable by Lemma 3.9. □



Figure 5: Example of a K_5-minor-free graph containing claws that is not 2-clique-colorable.

Remark 3. The condition {claw, K_5-minor}-free in Theorem 3.4 cannot be dropped. For example, the graph shown in Figure 4 contains a claw and its clique-chromatic number is 3. The line graph $L(K_6)$ of K_6 contains the complete graph K_5, and is not 2-clique-colorable by Ramsey number $R(3, 3) = 6$.

Note that if ϕ is a 2-clique-coloring of a graph, then $\phi^{-1}(r)$ and $\phi^{-1}(g)$ are clique-transversal sets of G. By Theorem 3.4, we immediately obtain an upper bound on the clique-transversal number for {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs.

Corollary 3.1. *Every {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, different from an odd cycle, has the clique-transversal number bounded above by half of its order.*

Finally, we present a polynomial-time algorithm to find a 2-clique-coloring of {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs. In [3] Bacsó and Tuza proposed the polynomial-time algorithm CLQCOL for 2-clique-coloring problem on claw-free graphs of maximum degree at most four, other than an odd hole.

Clearly, if G is a {claw, K_5-minor}-free graph, not an odd cycle, then so is the graph $G-v$, and $G-v$ has fewer vertices. Based on the algorithm CLQCOL and Lemmas 3.4-3.7, we provide the

following algorithm to find a 2-clique-coloring on {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs different from odd cycles.

Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$. 2-Clique-coloring of {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs.

Input: {Claw, K_5-minor}-free graph G, not an odd cycle.

Output: 2-Clique-coloring ϕ: $V(G) \to \{r, g\}$.

Step 1: If $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then CLQCOL(G)(see, Ref. [3]), stop the algorithm. If not, turn to Step 2.

Step 2: If $\Delta(G) = 5$ and there is no 4-clique in G, turn to Step 3. If not, turn to Step 4.

Step 3: If G is a 5-wheel, give a 2-clique-coloring directly. If not, find a vertex v with degree 5. Then $\mathcal{A}(G - v)$. Extend the 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$ to a 2-clique-coloring of G. Stop the algorithm.

Step 4: Find a vertex v of degree ≤ 5 in G such that v lies in a 4-clique. Then $\mathcal{A}(G - v)$. Extend the 2-clique-coloring of $G - v$ to a 2-clique-coloring of G. Stop the algorithm.

By Lemmas 3.7, 3.9 and 3.10, the validity of Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ can be easily verified. It is easy to check that the loop of every step is performed at most n times. In Step 1, the running time of Algorithm CLQCOL is $O(n^2)$ and is carried out only once. In Steps 2, 3 and 4, the running time is at most $O(n)$. Thus the overall time is at most $O(n^2)$. Consequently, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ is a polynomial-time algorithm in $O(n^2)$ for the 2-clique-coloring of {claw, K_5-minor}-free graphs, different from odd cycles.*

Acknowledgment

The research was supported in part by grant 11171207 of the National Nature Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] Th. Andreae, M. Schughart, Zs. Tuza, Clique-transversal sets of line graphs and complements of line graphs, Discrete Math. 88 (1991) 11–20.

[2] G. Bacsó, S. Gravier, A. Gyárfás, M. Preissmann, A. Sebő, Coloring the maximal cliques of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 17 (2004) 361–376.

[3] G. Bacsó, Zs. Tuza, Clique-transversal sets and weak 2-colorings in graphs of small maximum degree, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 11 (2) (2009) 15–24.

[4] C.N. Campos, S. Dantas, C.P. de Mello, coloring clique-hypergraphs of circulant graphs, Graphs Combin. 29 (2013) 1713–1720.

[5] D. Défossez, Clique-coloring some classess of odd-hole-free graphs, J. Graph Theory 53 (2006) 233–249.

[6] D. Défossez, Complexity of clique-coloring odd-hole-free graphs, J. Graph Theory 62 (2009) 139–156.

[7] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[8] D. Duffus, B. Sands, N. Sauer, R.E. Woodrow, Two-colouring all two-element maximal antichains, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 57 (1) (1991) 109–116.

[9] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, Zs. Tuza, Covering the cliques of a graph with vertices, Discrete Math. 108 (1992) 279–289.

[10] S. Gravier, C.T. Hoàng, F. Maffray, Coloring the hypergraph of maximal cliques of a graph with no long path, Discrete Math. 272 (2003) 285–290.

[11] C.T. Hoàng, C. McDiarmid, On the divisibility of graphs, Discrete Math. 242 (2002) 145–156.

[12] T. Jenson, B. Toft, Graph Coloring Problems, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1995.

[13] J. Kratochvíl, Zs. Tuza, On the complexity of bicoloring clique hypergraphs of graphs, J. Algorithms 45 (2002) 40–54.

[14] Z. Lonc, I. Rival, Chains, antichains and fibres, J. Combin. Theory Ser A 44 (1987) 207–228.

[15] D. Marx, Complexity of clique coloring and related problems, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 412 (2011) 3487–3500.

[16] B. Mohar, R. Škrekovski, The Grötzsch theorem for the hypergraph of maximal cliques, Electr. J. Combin. 6 (1999) #R26.

[17] J. Mycielski, Sur le coloriage des graphs, Colloq. Math. 3 (1955) 161–162.

[18] R. Naserasr, Y. Nigussie, R. Škrekovski, Homomorphisms of triangle-free graphs without a K_5-minor, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 5789–5798.

[19] E.F. Shan, Z.S. Liang, L.Y. Kang, Clique-transversal sets and clique-coloring in planar graphs, European J. Combin. 36 (2014) 367–376

[20] R. Škrekovski, Choosability of K_5-minor-free graphs, Discrete Math. 190 (1998) 223–226.

[21] K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, Math. Ann. 144 (1937) 570–590.